

On Pseudo T-Trirecurrent Finsler Space in Berwald Sense

Wafa`a H. A. Hadi

Dept. of Maths., Community college -Aden

Abdalstar Ali Mohsen Saleem

Dept. of Maths., Faculty of Sciences, Univ. of Aden

Abstract: In this present paper, we introduce a Finsler space which pseudo curvature tensor satisfies the trirecurrence property in sense of Berwald. Certain identities belong to this space have been studied. Finally, the trirecurrence property in a projection on indicatrix with respect to Berwald connection has been discussed

Keywords: pseudo T-Trirecurrent, flat pseudo T-Trirecurrent, projection on indicatrix.

1.Introduction.

The recurrence property has been studied by the Finslerian geometrics. Sinha [16] introduced the torsion tensor T_{jk}^i and curvature tensor T_{jkh}^i from the division tensor T_j^i . Dabey and Singh [2] and Pandey and Dwivedi [5,6] considered the space equipped curvature tensor T_{jkh}^i is recurrent and called it T-recurrent Finsler space. They also considered there in projectively flat-T-recurrent space and obtained certain results belong to these spaces. Saleem [11] studied the flat of recurrent curvature tensor fields in Finsler space. Further, Qasem and Saleem [9], Pandey and Verma [7], Saleem and Abdallah [14], Singh [15] and Sinha [16] were studied on birecurrent curvature tensor fields in Finsler space. Saleem and Abdallah [13] study the projection on indicatrix for

some tensors whose satisfy the birecurrence property. Saleem and Abdallah [13] considered the space equipped curvature tensor T_{jkh}^i is birecurrent and called it T-birecurrent Finsler space. They also considered there in projectively flat-T-recurrent space and obtained certain results belong to these spaces. Let us consider an n-dimensional Finsler space F_n equipped with the line elements (x, y) and the fundamental metric function F is positively homogeneous of degree one in y^i .

Berwald's covariant derivative of the vector y^j vanish identically. i. e.,

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{a) } \beta_l y^j = 0 \quad , \quad \text{b) } \beta_l l^j = 0 \quad , \\ & \text{c) } \beta_l F = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{d) } l^j = \frac{y^j}{F} \quad . \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.1. The projection of any tensor T_j^i on indicatrix is given by [3]

$$(1.2) \quad p.T_j^i = T_{\beta}^{\alpha} h_{\alpha}^i h_j^{\beta},$$

where the angular metric tensor is homogeneous function of degree zero in y^i and defined by

$$(1.2) \quad h_j^i = \delta_j^i - l^i l_j.$$

Definition 1.2. If the projection of a tensor T_j^i on indicatrix I_{n-1} is the same tensor T_j^i , the tensor is called an indicatrix tensor or an indicatory tensor.

In flat pseudo T-birecurrent space, the curvature tensor H_{jkh}^i , torsion tensor H_{kh}^i , division tensor H_h^i , Ricci tensor H_{jk} , curvature vector H_k and curvature scalar H are birecurrent ,i.e. [13]

$$(1.3) \quad \beta_m \beta_l H_{jkh}^i = a_{lm} H_{jkh}^i ;$$

$$(1.4) \quad \beta_m \beta_l H_{kh}^i = a_{lm} H_{kh}^i ;$$

$$(1.5) \quad \beta_m \beta_l H_h^i = a_{lm} H_h^i ;$$

$$(1.6) \quad \beta_m \beta_l H_{kh} = a_{lm} H_{kh} ;$$

$$(1.7) \quad \beta_m \beta_l H_h = a_{lm} H_h ;$$

$$(1.8) \quad \beta_m \beta_l H = a_{lm} H .$$

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we introduce some important concepts and definitions.

The pseudo division tensor T_j^i is positively homogeneous of degree 2 in y^i and defined by [16]:

$$(2.1) \quad T_j^i = -\{H\delta_j^i + \frac{1}{n+1}(\partial_r H_j^r - \partial_j H)y^i\}.$$

The pseudo torsion tensor T_{jk}^i is positively homogeneous of degree 1 in y^i and defined by:

$$(2.2) \quad T_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{n+1}\{y^i H_{rjk}^r + 2\delta_{[j}^i (H_{k]} + \partial_{k]} H)\}.$$

The pseudo curvature tensor T_{jkh}^i is positively homogeneous of degree 0 in y^i and defined by:

$$(2.3) \quad T_{jkh}^i = \frac{1}{n+1}\{\delta_{[j}^i H_{k]hr}^r + y^i \partial_j H_{rkh}^r + 2\delta_{[k}^i (H_{j]h} + \partial_h \partial_j H)\}.$$

From tensor S. P. Sinha [16] obtained the tensors as follows:

$$(2.4) \quad a) \quad T_{jk}^i = \frac{2}{3} \partial_{[j} T_{k]}^i$$

$$\text{and} \quad b) \quad T_{jkh}^i = \partial_j T_{kh}^i.$$

The curvature tensor T_{jkh}^i and torsion tensor T_{kh}^i satisfies the following identities

$$(2.5) \quad a) \quad T_{jkh}^i y^j = T_{kh}^i \quad \text{and} \quad b) \quad T_{kh}^i y^k = T_h^i.$$

Also, this tensors satisfies the following:

$$(2.6) \quad a) \quad T_{jkh}^i = W_{jkh}^i - H_{jkh}^i,$$

$$b) \quad T_{kh}^i = W_{kh}^i - H_{kh}^i \quad \text{and} \quad c) \quad T_k^i = W_k^i - H_k^i.$$

The curvature tensor H_{jkh}^i , the torsion tensor H_{jk}^i and the division tensor H_j^i are positively homogeneous of degree zero, one and two in y^i , respectively. The curvature tensor H_{jkh}^i is skew -symmetric in their lower indices satisfy the following:

$$(2.7) \quad a) \quad H_{jkh}^i y^j = H_{kh}^i, \quad b) \quad H_{kh}^i y^k = H_h^i,$$

$$c) \quad H_{jki}^i = H_{jk}, \quad d) \quad H_{jk} y^j = H_k,$$

$$e) \quad H_k y^k = (n-1)H,$$

$$g) \quad H_i^i = (n-1)H$$

The Bianchi identities for curvature tensor H_{jkh}^i is given by

$$l) \quad H_{jkh}^i + H_{khj}^i + H_{hjk}^i = 0.$$

The projective curvature tensor W_{jkh}^i , the torsion tensor W_{jk}^i and the division tensor W_j^i are positively homogeneous of degree

zero, one and two in y^i , respectively. And satisfy the following: [15]

$$(2.8) \quad a) W_{jkh}^i y^j = W_{kh}^i, \quad b) W_{kh}^i y^k = W_h^i \text{ and } c) W_{jki}^i = 0.$$

The Bianchi identities for projective curvature tensor field W_{jkh}^i is given by [15]

$$(2.9) \quad W_{jkh}^i + W_{khj}^i + W_{hjk}^i = 0.$$

Taking skew – symmetric part of (2.6a) with respect to j, k and h, using (2.7l) and (2.9), we get

$$(2.10) \quad T_{jkh}^i + T_{khj}^i + T_{hjk}^i = 0.$$

A Finsler space is called a pseudo T-recurrent Finsler space, if it's the curvature tensor T_{jkh}^i satisfies ([5], [6])

$$(2.11) \quad \beta_l T_{jkh}^i = \lambda_l T_{jkh}^i, \quad T_{jkh}^i \neq 0,$$

where λ_l is non-zero covariant vector field.

Since Finsler space is projective flat, we have [15]

$$(2.12) \quad a) W_{jkh}^i = 0, \quad b) W_{kh}^i = 0, \text{ and } c) W_j^i = 0.$$

A Finsler space is called a pseudo T-birecurrent Finsler space, if it's the curvature tensor T_{jkh}^i satisfies [13]:

$$(2.13) \quad \beta_m \beta_l T_{jkh}^i = a_{lm} T_{jkh}^i, \quad T_{jkh}^i \neq 0,$$

where a_{lm} recurrence covariant tensor field of second order

$$(2.14) \quad \beta_m \beta_l T_{kh}^i = a_{lm} T_{kh}^i$$

$$(2.15) \quad \beta_m \beta_l T_h^i = a_{lm} T_h^i$$

The curvature tensor T_{jkh}^i of a pseudo T-birecurrent Finsler space on indicatrix is birecurrent in sense of Berwald [13]

$$(2.16) \quad \beta_m \beta_l (p \cdot T_{jkh}^i) = a_{lm} (p \cdot T_{jkh}^i).$$

$$(2.17) \quad \beta_m \beta_l (p \cdot T_{jk}^i) = a_{lm} (p \cdot T_{jk}^i).$$

$$(2.18) \quad \beta_m \beta_l (p \cdot T_j^i) = a_{lm} (p \cdot T_j^i).$$

$$(2.19) \quad \beta_m \beta_l (p \cdot H_{jk}) = a_{lm} (p \cdot H_{jk}).$$

$$(2.20) \quad \beta_m \beta_l (p \cdot H_j) = a_{lm} (p \cdot H_j).$$

$$(2.21) \quad \beta_m \beta_l (T_{jkh}^i - T_{jkh}^a \ell^i \ell_a) = a_{lm} (T_{jkh}^i - T_{jkh}^a \ell^i \ell_a).$$

$$(2.22) \quad \beta_m \beta_l (T_{jk}^i - T_{jk}^a \ell^i \ell_a) = a_{lm} (T_{jk}^i - T_{jk}^a \ell^i \ell_a).$$

$$(2.23) \quad \beta_m \beta_l (T_j^i - T_j^a \ell^i \ell_a) = a_{lm} (T_j^i - T_j^a \ell^i \ell_a).$$

3. Pseudo T-Trirecurrent Space:

In this section, we introduce a Finsler space which the curvature tensor T_{jkh}^i is trirecurrent in sense of Berwald .

Definition 3.1. A Finsler space F_n for which the curvature tensor T_{jkh}^i satisfies

$$(3.1) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l T_{jkh}^i = c_{lmn} T_{jkh}^i, \quad T_{jkh}^i \neq 0,$$

where c_{lmn} recurrence covariant tensor field of third order, this space will be called pseudo T- trirecurrent space.

Differentiating (2.13) covariantly with respect to x^n in sense of Berwald, we get

$$(3.2) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l T_{jkh}^i = (\beta_n a_{lm}) T_{jkh}^i + a_{lm} \beta_n T_{jkh}^i.$$

In view of (2.11), the above equation becomes

$$\beta_n \beta_m \beta_l T_{jkh}^i = (\beta_n a_{lm}) T_{jkh}^i + a_{lm} \lambda_n T_{jkh}^i$$

which can be written as

$$\beta_n \beta_m \beta_l T_{jkh}^i = c_{lmn} T_{jkh}^i, \quad T_{jkh}^i \neq 0,$$

where $c_{lmn} = (\beta_n a_{lm}) + a_{lm} \lambda_n$.

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 3.1. Every pseudo T – birecurrent space which the recurrence vector field satisfies $(\beta_n a_{lm}) + a_{lm} \lambda_n \neq 0$, is a pseudo T – trirecurrent space.

Transvecting (3.1) by y^j , using (2.5a) and (1.1a), we get

$$(3.3) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l T^i_{kh} = c_{lmn} T^i_{kh}.$$

Transvecting (3.3) by y^k , using (2.5b) and (1.1a), we get

$$(3.4) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l T^i_h = c_{lmn} T^i_h.$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 3.2. In pseudo T – trirecurrent space, The torsion tensor T^i_{kh} and division tensor T^i_h are trirecurrent.

Differentiating (1.3) covariantly with respect to x^n in sense of Berwald, we get

$$\beta_n \beta_m \beta_l H^i_{jkh} = (\beta_n a_{lm}) H^i_{jkh} + a_{lm} \beta_n H^i_{jkh}.$$

Above equation can be written as

$$(3.5) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l H^i_{jkh} = c_{lmn} H^i_{jkh}, \quad H^i_{jkh} \neq 0,$$

Transvecting (3.5) by y^j , using (1.1a) and (2.7a), we get

$$(3.6) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l H^i_{kh} = c_{lmn} H^i_{kh}.$$

Transvecting (3.6) by y^k , using (1.1a) and (2.7b), we get

$$(3.7) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l H^i_h = c_{lmn} H^i_h.$$

Contracting i and h in (3.5) and using (2.7c), we get

$$(3.8) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l H_{jk} = c_{lmn} H_{jk}$$

Transvecting (3.8) by y^j , using (1.1a) and (2.7d), we get

$$(3.9) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l H_k = c_{lmn} H_k$$

Contracting i and h in (3.7) and using (2.7g), we get

$$(3.10) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l H = c_{lmn} H$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 3.3. In flat pseudo T-trirecurrent space, the curvature tensor H^i_{jkh} , torsion tensor H^i_{kh} , division tensor H^i_h , Ricci tensor H_{jk} , curvature vector H_k and curvature scalar H are trirecurrent .

Differentiating (2.6a) covariantly with respect to x^l in sense of Berwald, we get

$$(3.11) \quad \beta_l T^i_{jkh} = \beta_l (W^i_{jkh} - H^i_{jkh})$$

Differentiating (3.11) covariantly twice with respect to x^m and x^n , respectively, in sense of Berwald, we get

$$(3.12) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l T^i_{jkh} = \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l (W^i_{jkh} - H^i_{jkh}),$$

Using (3.1), (3.5) and (2.6a) in (3.12), we get

$$(3.13) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l W^i_{jkh} = c_{lmn} W^i_{jkh}$$

Transvecting (3.13) by y^j , using (2.8a) and (1.1a), we get

$$(3.14) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l W^i_{kh} = c_{lmn} W^i_{kh}$$

Transvecting (3.14) by y^k , using (2.8b) and (1.1a), we get

$$(3.15) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l W^i_h = c_{lmn} W^i_h$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 3.4. In pseudo T-trirecurrent space, the projective curvature tensor W^i_{jkh} , the torsion tensor W^i_{kh} and the division tensor W^i_h are trirecurrent.

Using (3.1) and (2.6a) in (3.12), we get

$$(3.16) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l (W^i_{jkh} - H^i_{jkh}) \\ = c_{lmn} (W^i_{jkh} - H^i_{jkh}).$$

Transvecting (3.16) by y^j , using (2.7a), (2.8a) and (1.1a), we get

$$(3.17) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l (W^i_{kh} - H^i_{kh}) \\ = c_{lmn} (W^i_{kh} - H^i_{kh})$$

Transvecting (3.17) by y^k , using (2.7b), (2.8b) and (1.1a), we get

$$(3.18) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l (W_h^i - H_h^i) = c_{lmn} (W_h^i - H_h^i),$$

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 3.5. In pseudo T-trirecurrent space,

The tensors $(W_{jkh}^i - H_{jkh}^i)$, $(W_{kh}^i - H_{kh}^i)$ and $(W_h^i - H_h^i)$ are trirecurrent.

4. Projection on Indicatrix with Respect to Berwald's Connection

Saleem and Abdallah introduced the projection on indicatrix for the tensors which be birecurrent [13]. In this section, we studied the projection on indicatrix for the tensors which be trirecurrent.

Let us consider a Finsler space F_n for which the curvature tensor T_{jkh}^i is trirecurrent in sense of Berwald, i.e. characterized by (3.1).

Now, in view of (1.2), the curvature tensor T_{jkh}^i on indicatrix is given by

$$(4.1) \quad p \cdot T_{jkh}^i = T_{bcd}^a h_a^i h_j^b h_k^c h_h^d.$$

Taking covariant derivative of (4.1) with respect to x^l , x^m and x^n in sense of Berwald and using the fact that $\beta_l h_j^i = 0$, we get

$$(4.2) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l (p T_{jkh}^i) = \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l T_{bcd}^a h_a^i h_j^b h_k^c h_h^d.$$

Using (3.1) in (4.2), we get

$$(4.3) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l (p T_{jkh}^i) = c_{lmn} T_{bcd}^a h_a^i h_j^b h_k^c h_h^d.$$

In view of (1.2) and by using the fact that $\beta_l h_j^i = 0$, equation (4.3) can be written as

$$\beta_n \beta_m \beta_l (p T_{jkh}^i) = c_{lmn} (p \cdot T_{jkh}^i).$$

This shows that $p \cdot T_{jkh}^i$ is trirecurrent.

Thus, we conclude

Theorem 4.1. The projection of the curvature tensor T_{jkh}^i on indicatrix in pseudo T-trirecurrent space is trirecurrent in sense of Berwald.

Taking covariant derivative of (2.17) with respect to x^n in sense of Berwald, we get

$$(4.4) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l (p T_{khn}^i) = \beta_n a_{lm} (p \cdot T_{khn}^i).$$

Using (3.3) and using the fact that $\beta_l h_j^i = 0$ in (4.4) and in view of (1.2), we get

$$(4.5) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l (p T_{khn}^i) = c_{lmn} (p \cdot T_{khn}^i).$$

Theorem 4.2. The projection of the torsion tensor T_{kh}^i on indicatrix in pseudo T-trirecurrent space is trirecurrent in sense of Berwald.

Taking covariant derivative of (2.18) with respect to x^n in sense of Berwald, we get

$$(4.6) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l (p T_h^i) = \beta_n a_{lm} (p \cdot T_h^i).$$

Using (3.4) and the fact that $\beta_l h_j^i = 0$ in (4.6) and in view of (1.2), we get

$$(4.7) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l (p T_h^i) = c_{lmn} (p \cdot T_h^i).$$

Theorem 4.3. The projection of the division tensor T_h^i on indicatrix in pseudo T-trirecurrent space is trirecurrent in sense of Berwald.

Taking covariant derivative of (2.21) with respect to x^n in sense of Berwald and using (2.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l (T_{jkh}^i - T_{jkh}^a \ell^i \ell_a) \\ & = (\beta_n a_{lm} + \lambda_n a_{lm}) (T_{jkh}^i - T_{jkh}^a \ell^i \ell_a). \end{aligned}$$

Above equation we can written as

$$(4.8) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l (T_{jkh}^i - T_{jkh}^a \ell^i \ell_a)$$

$$= c_{lmn}(T_{jkh}^i - T_{jkh}^a \ell^i \ell_a).$$

Thus, we conclude

corollary 4.1. In pseudo T-trirecurrent space, the projection of the tensor T_{jkh}^i on indicatrix is trirecurrent if and only if $T_{jkh}^a \ell^i \ell_a$ is trirecurrent.

Taking covariant derivative of (2.22) with respect to x^n in sense of Berwald , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l (T_{j k}^i - T_{j k}^a \ell^i \ell_a) \\ &= (\beta_n a_{lm} + \lambda_n a_{lm}) (T_{j k}^i - T_{j k}^a \ell^i \ell_a). \end{aligned}$$

Above equation can be written as

$$(4.9) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l (T_{j k}^i - T_{j k}^a \ell^i \ell_a) = c_{lmn} (T_{j k}^i - T_{j k}^a \ell^i \ell_a).$$

Thus ,we conclude

corollary 4.2. In pseudo T-trirecurrent space, the projection of the torsion tensor T_{jk}^i on indicatrix is trirecurrent if and only if $T_{jk}^a \ell^i \ell_a$ is trirecurrent.

Taking covariant derivative of (2.23) with respect to x^n in sense of Berwald , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l (T_j^i - T_j^a \ell^i \ell_a) \\ &= (\beta_n a_{lm} + \lambda_n a_{lm}) (T_j^i - T_j^a \ell^i \ell_a). \end{aligned}$$

Above equation can be written as

$$(4.10) \quad \beta_n \beta_m \beta_l (T_j^i - T_j^a \ell^i \ell_a) = c_{lmn} (T_j^i - T_j^a \ell^i \ell_a).$$

Thus ,we conclude

corollary 4.3. in pseudo T - trirecurrent space, the projection of the division tensor T_j^i on indicatrix is trirecurrent if and only if $T_j^a \ell^i \ell_a$ is trirecurrent.

REFERENCES

- [1] **Abdallah AA, Navlekar AA, Ghadle KP and Hardan B.,** (2022): Fundamentals and recent studies of Finsler geometry, international Journal of advances in applied mathematics and mechanics, Vol.10 (27-38).
- [2] **Dubey, R. S. D. and Hukum Singh.,** (1979).: Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., 88A, 363 .
- [3] **Gheorghe, M.,** (2007).: The Indicatrix in Finsler Geometry, Analele Stiintifice Ale Uuiversității Matematică. Tomul LIII,163-180.
- [4] **Hadi, W. H. A.,** (2016): Study of Certain Types of Generalized Birecurrent in Finsler spaces, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Aden .
- [5] **Pandey, P.N. and Dwivedi, V.J.,** (1987): Affine motion in a T-recurrent Finsler manifold, IV, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., (India), 57 (A) ,438-446.
- [6] **Pandey, P.N. and Dwivedi, V.J.,** (1987): On T-recurrent Finsler Spaces, Prog. of Maths.. Vol. 21, (2) , 101-111.
- [7] **Pandey, P.N and Verma, R.,** (1997): C^h -birecurrent Finsler space, second conference of the International Academy of Physical Sciences, (December 13-14).
- [8] **Qasem, F.Y.A.,** (2000).: On Transformation in Finsler Spaces, D.Phil. Thesis, University of Allahabad, Allahabad .
- [9] **Qasem, F.Y.A. and Saleem, A.A.M.,** (2010): On U-Birecurrent Finsler Spaces, Univ. Aden J. Nat. and Appl. Sc. Vol. 14 , 587-596.
- [10] **Qasem, F.Y.A. and Saleem, A.A.M. ,** (2016): On Certain Types of Affine Motion,

International Journal of Sciences Basic and Applied Research. Vol. 27, No.1., 95-114.

[11] **Saleem, A.A.M.**, (2011): On Certain Generalized Birecurrent and trirecurrent Finsler Spaces, M, Sc. Thesis, University of Aden, Aden .

[12] **Saleem, A. A. M.**, (2016): On certain Problems in Finsler space, D. Ph. Thesis, Univ. of Aden .

[13] **Saleem, A. A.** and **Abdallah, A. A.**, (2023): On Pseudo T-Birecurrent Finsler Space in Berwald sense, International Journal of Advanced multidisciplinary Research and studies, Vol.3, Iss.3, 517- 522.

[14] **Saleem, A. A. M.** and **Abdallah, A. A.**, (2022).: Study On U^h –Birecurrent Finsler space, International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology, Vol. 2, Iss. 3, 28 – 39 .

[15] **Singh, S. P.**, (2010): Projective motion in bi - recurrent Finsler space, Differential Geometry- Dyhamical Systems, Vol. 12, 221-227.

[16] **Sinha, B.B.**, (1971): Progress of mathematics , Vol.5(88).

فضاء فنسler الزائف -T ثلاثي المعاودة وفق أسلوب بيرولد

عبدالستار علي محسن سليم

قسم الرياضيات - كلية العلوم - جامعة - عدن

وفاء هادي علي هادي

قسم الرياضيات - كلية المجتمع - عدن

الملخص: في هذه الورقة البحثية قدمنا فضاء فنسler الزائف-T ثلاثي المعاودة وفق أسلوب بيرولد وتوصلنا للعديد من النظريات في هذا الفضاء ثم قدمنا اسقاطات عدد من الموترات واثبتنا أنها تحقق الخاصية الثلاثية المعاودة في الفضاء الزائف – T ثلاثي المعاودة.

الكلمات المفتاحية: الفضاء الزائف-T ثلاثي المعاودة، المستوى الزائف-T ثلاثي المعاودة، الاسقاطات